

Patrol Support of the year
Judging Rubric

Last Updated: 30/4/20

1. Contribution to lifesaving - CLUB - 25 Points

1.1 How many voluntary hours of patrolling did the nominee complete this season?

*note - use judging sheet for formula of patrolling hours to calculate points given. If this is used for one nominee it must be consistent for all those nominated for this award.

Answer	No volunteer hours completed	Minimum number of volunteer patrolling hours			Average number of volunteer patrolling hours			High average of volunteer patrolling hours		Maximum number of volunteer patrolling hours completed
Points Given	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Example and/or explanation	0 voluntary hours completed for season.	0-20 of voluntary patrolling hours	21-40 of voluntary patrolling hours	41-60 of voluntary patrolling hours	61-80 of voluntary patrolling hours	81-100 of voluntary patrolling hours	101-140 of voluntary patrolling hours	141-170 of voluntary patrolling hours	171-200 of voluntary patrolling hours	

1.2 How many rescue related activities was the nominee involved in this season?

Answer	None	Minimal	Average number	Above average	Extensive
Points Given	0	1	2	3	4
Example and/or explanation	0 rescues assisted in a patrol support capacity by nominee	1-3 rescues assisted in a patrol support capacity by nominee	4-8 rescues assisted in a patrol support capacity by nominee	9-12 rescues assisted in a patrol support capacity by nominee involved in +2 high	13+ rescues assisted in a patrol support capacity by nominee

				needs rescues that involved back up medical assistance, DeFibulation and/or debrief.	was involved in +3 high needs rescues that involved back up medical assistance, DeFibulation and/or debrief
--	--	--	--	--	---

1.3 Preventative Actions

Answer	No preventative actions	Minimal preventative actions	Average preventative actions	Above average preventative actions	Extensive preventative actions
Points Given	0	1	2	3	5
Example and/or explanation	No preventative actions performed by nominee.	One low level preventative action performed by nominee.	Two low level preventative actions performed by nominee.	Three low level preventative actions performed by nominee And/or 1 or more medium level preventative action performed with a direct result of life saved performed by nominee. (as detailed in nominees nomination form)	Four low level preventative actions performed by nominee. And/or 1 or more high level preventative action with a direct result of more than one life saved performed by nominee. (as detailed in nominees nomination form)

1.4 Did the nominee assist the patrol in the best capability they can as a patrol supporter?

Answer	No	Somewhat	Yes, with excellence
--------	----	----------	----------------------

Points Given	0	2	4
Example and/or explanation	The nominee did not support the patrol whilst on patrol as a patrol supporter.	The nominee did performed at an adequate level to assist the patrol as a patrol supporter	The nominee performed with excellence when assisting the patrol as a peer supporter. e.g Completing jobs/roles that would better enable the lifeguards on the beach to perform their roles. Such as filling up fuel bladders.

1.5 Did the nominee use their initiative whilst on patrol to complete tasks and/or take on roles?

Answer	No	Somewhat	Yes, with excellence
Points Given	0	1	2
Example and/or explanation	The nominee did not use their initiative whilst on patrol as a patrol supporter.	The nominee used their initiative whilst on patrol as a patrol supporter, however could have taken more opportunities to do so.	The nominee took every opportunity to use their initiative whilst on patrol as a patrol supporter. This effectively made a huge difference to the running and performance of the patrol. The PC might comment that the patrol would be lost without this member of their team. The nominee is able to see what tasks need to be completed during the patrol day and is able to complete this without being directed too.

1.6 Was the nominee on a club committee?

Answer	No	Yes
--------	----	-----

Points Given	0	2
Example and/or explanation	The nominee <u>was not</u> on a lifesaving committee for this season	The nominee <u>was</u> on a lifesaving committee for this season.

2. Contribution to Lifesaving - REGION - 19 Points

2.2 Was the nominee a member of the Local Lifesaving committee?

Answer	No	Yes
Points Given	0	1
Example and/or explanation	The nominee <u>was not</u> on the lifesaving committee for this season.	The nominee <u>was</u> on the lifesaving committee for this season.

2.3 How many regionally lead roles did the nominee obtain? Such as Chief examiner, CIS peer supporter, supervisor?

Answer	None	Minimal regional lifesaving roles	Some number of regional lifesaving roles	Average number of regional lifesaving roles.	Above average number of regional lifesaving roles
Points Given	0	2	4	6	8
Example and/or explanation	The nominee did not hold any regional role this season.	The nominee held one regional role this season.	The nominee held two regional roles this season.	The nominee held three regional roles this season.	The nominee held four or more regional roles this season.

2.4 How many event safety lifeguarding opportunities was the nominee actively involved in?

Answer	None	Minimal number of Event Safety	Some number of Event Safety	Average number of Event Safety	Above average number of Event	Extensive number of Event Safety
--------	------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

		Opportunities	Opportunities	Opportunities	Safety Opportunities.	Opportunities
Points Given	0	2	4	6	8	10
Example and/or explanation	The nominee was not actively involved in any event safety opportunities this season.	The nominee was actively involved in one event safety opportunities this season.	The nominee was actively involved in two event safety opportunities this season.	The nominee was actively involved in three event safety opportunities this season. and /or The Nominee held an important role within the event safety opportunity during the season for 1 event.	The nominee was actively involved in four event safety opportunities this season. and /or The Nominee held an important role within the event safety opportunity during the season for 2 or more events	The nominee was actively involved in five or more event safety opportunities this season. and /or The Nominee held an important role within the event safety opportunity during the season for 3 or more events.

3. Contribution to Lifesaving- NATIONAL - 3 Points

3.1 Was the nominee involved with any national lifesaving initiatives? Such as BP Leaders for Life

Answer	No	Yes
Points Given	0	3
Example and/or explanation	The nominee was not involved in any national life saving initiatives.	The nominee was involved in national lifesaving initiatives.

4. Application of Leadership and Advocacy - 25 Points

4.1 Did the nominee mentor any junior lifeguards/or patrol supporters whilst on patrol?

Answer	No	Yes
Points Given	0	5
Example and/or explanation	No, the nominee did not mentor junior guards or patrol supports whilst on patrol.	Yes, the nominee did mentor junior guard/s or patrol supporters whilst on patrol.

4.2 If they were a mentor, what was the extent of the nominee's positive influence on other members?

Answer	No positive influence	No influence	Some influence with assistance	Some influence with guidance	An average amount of positive influence	Improved positive influence	Positive influence on 50% of members	Positive influence on 90% of members	Extensive positive influence on entire patrol membership
Points Given	0	0	1	3	5	7	9	11	14
Example and/or explanation	The nominee showed a negative influence on other members	The nominee had none or very little influence on other members	The nominee showed some positive influence with the help or assistance of other members	The nominee showed some positive influence with guidance from a senior member at a distance	The nominee showed an average amount of positive influence on other members, with no help or support from other senior members	The nominee continued to show an improved positive influence on other members throughout the season.	The nominee showed a positive influence 50% of the time during the season. Best described as inconsistent. But evident that a positive influence had been shown and could be shown.	The nominee showed 90% positive influence on other members during the season. Example: the nominee showed one time where they did not have a positive influence on	The nominee showed a consistent level of positive influence on all members throughout the entire season. Example there is no one time that they did not positively influence others.

								other members.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------	--

4.3 Did the nominee have a media profile that advocated well for SLSNZ? Such as their social media presence.

Answer	No	Somewhat	Yes
Points Given	0	2	3
Example and/or explanation	<p>The nominee did not have a media profile And/or The nominee did not have a media profile that advocated well for SLSNZ</p>	<p>The nominee could best be described as having an inconsistent media profile for SLSNZ And/or The nominee has little evidence to draw upon to show a media profile or a media profile that advocates well for SLSNZ And/or There are some instances where the candidate has advocated well for SLSNZ And/or there are some instances where the nominee has not advocated well for SLSNZ but is in conjunction with the above point.</p>	<p>The nominee has had a media profile for SLSNZ e.g they have featured on local radio or in the local newspaper. The nominee has consistently had advocated well for SLSNZ.</p>

4.4 Did the nominee consistently role model desirable behaviors to other members?

Answer	No	Somewhat	Yes
Points Given	0	2	3
Example and/or explanation	The nominee did not role model any desired behaviors towards other members	The nominee inconsistently role/ or only on select occasions modelled desirable behaviors to other members. And/or The nominee role modelled desirable behaviors to only a select few members.	The nominee consistently role modelled desirable behaviors to other members.

5. Personal Development undertaken - 18 Points

5.1 How many personal development activities did the nominee undertake? E.g first aid courses, leadership courses, coaching workshops.

Answer	None	Minimum number of development activities undertaken by the nominee.		Average number of development activities undertaken by the nominee.			High number of development activities undertaken by the nominee.		Extensive number of development activities undertaken by the nominee.
Points Given	0	2	4	6	8	10	11	12	14
Example and/or explanation	The nominee was not involved in any	The nominee was involved in one development	The nominee was involved in two development	The nominee was involved in three development	The nominee was involved in four development	The nominee was involved in five development	The nominee was involved in six development	The nominee was involved in seven development	The nominee was involved in eight or more

	development activities.	activities.	activities.	activities.	activities. And or the nominee participated in one or more high level development opportunities	activities.	activities.	activities.	development activities.
--	-------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------------

5.2 Did any of the personal development undertaken by the nominee develop their capability as a Lifeguard during the course of the season?

Answer	No	Yes
Points Given	0	4
Example and/or explanation	The nominee's capability as a patrol supporter did not shift.	The nominee's capability as a patrol supporter did shift.

6. Level of other SLS involvement - 10 Points

6.1 To what extent was the nominee involved in other elements of SLSNZ such as local sport, rep teams, fundraising, committees etc?

Answer	None	Minimum extent to what the nominee was involved in.	Average extent to what the nominee was involved in.		High extent to what the nominee was involved in.	Extensive involvement in other elements of SLSNZ
Points Given	0	2	4	6	8	10
Example and/or explanation	The nominee did not participate in any other SLSNZ	The nominee participated in one	The nominee participated in two	The nominee participated in three	The nominee participated in four	The nominee participated in five

	elements.	other SLSNZ element.	other SLSNZ elements.	other SLSNZ elements.	other SLSNZ elements.	or more other SLSNZ elements.
--	-----------	-------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------